IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

LAUREN W.,

Case No. 3:18-cv-00870-MK **ORDER**

Plaintiff,

v.

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

AIKEN, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Mustafa Kasubhai filed his Findings and Recommendation ("F&R") (doc. 18) recommending that the Commissioner's decision be reversed and remanded for an immediate award of benefits. No objections have been filed and this matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Although absent objections no review is required, the Magistrates Act "does not preclude further review by the district [court] *sua sponte*... under a *de novo* or any other standard." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 154 (1985). Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection

is filed," the Court reviews the magistrate judge's recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record." Based on my review of the F&R and the documents in the case, I find no error in Judge Kasubhai's F&R. Thus, I adopt the F&R (doc. 18) in its entirety. Accordingly, the Commissioner's decision is REVERSED and REMANDED for an immediate award of benefits.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 2 day of July, 2019.

Ann Aiken

United States District Judge